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Key Takeaways

- The Tennessee Department of Correction spent about $907 million on incarceration in FY 2023, and our counties spent an estimated $554 million in FY 2022 to operate local jails.
- Capital costs are counted separately. The state spends about $53 million each year on capital, and at least 40 counties have started or completed jail construction or expansion since 2009.
- Tennessee has special rules for how the state budgets for laws that affect incarceration — which were enacted to address prison overcrowding in the 1970s and 1980s.
- Cost projections for incarceration bills are highly sensitive to assumptions and don't always quantify the full fiscal impact that policymakers must ultimately plan for.
- Inmate numbers and facility needs are the main drivers of incarceration costs. Some recent trends related to these factors include:
  - After steady growth through the 2000s and 2010s, Tennessee’s incarcerated population dropped sharply during the COVID-19 pandemic but began to grow again in FY 2022.
  - Crime trends since 2001 vary by offense type, but the overall rate of crimes cleared has stayed about the same.
  - Sentences and time served have increased over the last two decades for many felonies in Tennessee — trends that may continue as the effects of new laws begin to play out.
  - Pre-trial inmates have become the largest share of the local jail population, increasing more rapidly than the number of convicted inmates.
  - State and local facilities in Tennessee have recently struggled with staffing shortages — sometimes with consequences for staff and inmate safety.
  - Both state and local facilities have increased bed capacity over the last two decades, but many facilities are operating at or above capacity.

State and local officials are tasked with protecting public safety and adequately funding incarceration while maintaining a balanced budget. To provide context for related policy deliberations both today and in the future, this report updates our 2019 examination of Tennessee’s state and local incarceration budgets with information on recent trends and policy changes.
About 44,700 people were incarcerated in state prisons and local jails across Tennessee in FY 2023 (Figure 1). This report explores who pays to incarcerate them, at what cost, how those costs are accounted for in the state budget process, and the factors that drive them.

**Figure 1. About 44,700 People Were Incarcerated in Tennessee Jails and Prisons in FY 2023 — Up From About 35,400 in FY 2000**

Incapacitated Population in Tennessee by Conviction Status, Offense Type, and Location (FY 2023)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Prisons</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felony Convictions</td>
<td>19.1K</td>
<td>16.8K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misdemeanor Convictions</td>
<td>5.6K</td>
<td>5.8K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Trial Felonys</td>
<td>3.1K</td>
<td>4.8K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Jails</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Trial Misdemeanor</td>
<td>10.6K</td>
<td>4.3K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.3K</td>
<td>2.0K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>44.7K</td>
<td>35.4K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Tennessee Department of Correction and the U.S. Census Bureau (1) (2) (3)

**How Much Does Tennessee Spend on Incarceration?**

In Tennessee, state and local governments share incarceration costs depending on the stage of the criminal process, offense type, and facility type. In 2023, about 43% of the state’s incarcerated population were in state prisons — all of them convicted felons. The remaining 57% were in local jails1 — including some convicted felons, convicted misdemeanor offenders, and pre-trial detainees (i.e., people awaiting trial, conviction, or acquittal) (Figure 1). The state is responsible for all state prison costs and providing a per diem to local governments to house the 23% of convicted felons in local jails. Meanwhile, local governments pick up any remaining local jail costs. (1)

**State Spending**

In FY 2023, the Tennessee Department of Correction (TDOC) spent about $907 million on incarceration — excluding capital costs. That’s about 79% of its budget, which is funded mainly by state revenues (Figure 2). (4) The department was the 5th largest state revenue expense that year behind K-12 education, TennCare, higher education, and taxes shared with cities and counties (Figure 3). The capital costs of maintaining, renovating, and constructing facilities are accounted for separately. Since FY 2000, the state budget has included about $1.4 billion after adjusting for inflation to maintain, expand, and build state prisons — an average of about $53 million annually. (4)

---

1 Throughout, we use the term prison to refer to state facilities, and jails for local jails, correctional and detention facilities, and workhouses.
Figure 2. Funded Mostly by State Revenues, 79% of TN Department of Correction Spending Goes to Incarceration

Tennessee Department of Correction Spending by Revenue Source (FY 2023)

Note: Federal dollars funded approx. 0.1% of TDOC spending.
Source: The Sycamore Institute’s analysis of the FY 2025 Tennessee State Budget (4)

Figure 3. The Tennessee Department of Correction is the 5th Largest State Revenue Expense

State Revenue Spending by State Agency (FYs 1995-2023)

Note: Amounts are adjusted for inflation in 2023 dollars using the GDP Price Index. Actual expenditures are unavailable for FY 2009. *Includes the Board of Parole and other adjustments to allow for comparisons over time.
Source: The Sycamore Institute’s analysis of the FYs 2002-2025 Tennessee State Budgets (4)
Figure 4. Inmates Awaiting Trial Are the Largest Share of the Local Jail Population in Tennessee

Local Jail Population by Conviction Status and Offense Type (FY 2000-January 2024)

Source: Tennessee Department of Correction (2)

TDOC pays local governments a per diem to house convicted felons. Each year’s state funding bill caps that amount. Almost every county gets a max of $41 per prisoner per day in FY 2024. (2) Local jails providing access to evidence-based programming for improving outcomes can be certified by the Tennessee Corrections Institute and receive up to an additional $6 per inmate per day. Several counties contract with the state and negotiate separate rates — some lower and some higher than the state rate. (3) Local governments are only reimbursed for sentenced felons — not inmates awaiting trial, which are the largest share of the local jail population (Figure 4). (3)

Figure 5. TDOC Spends Less Per Inmate to House Convicted Felons in Local Jails Than in State Prisons

Cost Per Felon in Tennessee by Location (FYs 2000-2023)

Note: Adjusted amounts are in 2023 dollars using the GDP Price Index. Actual expenditures are unavailable for FY 2009.
Source: The Sycamore Institute’s analysis of the FYs 2002-2025 Tennessee State Budgets and the Tennessee Department of Correction data (4) (1)
TDOC spends less to house convicted felons in local jails than state prisons, and those reimbursements may not cover local governments’ actual costs. In FY 2024, for example, the estimated average daily cost to house a prisoner in a TDOC facility is $106 (ranging from $69 to $252 per day, depending on the facility). (4) That is over twice the standard max per diem of $41 — a difference reflected in TDOC’s annual per-inmate spending on state prisons versus local jail reimbursement (Figure 5). Since FY 2000, TDOC’s annual per-inmate spending for local jails has decreased about 26% after adjusting for inflation — compared to an increase of 43% for those in state prisons. Counties have long said the state’s reimbursements do not cover their total costs. (5)

Local Spending

Tennessee counties spent an estimated $554 million in FY 2022 to operate local jails — including reimbursements from the state but excluding capital costs (Figure 6). (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) This amounted to an average of about 4% of each county’s total expenditures (Figure 7). Not included in these costs are capital spending to maintain, expand, and build local jails — which are often accounted for in capital and debt service line items that are not broken down into this level of detail. However, since 2009, at least 40 counties have started or completed major jail construction, expansion, or renovation projects (Figure 8). (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16) (17) (18) (19) (20) Montgomery and Shelby Counties have also recently raised the need for major construction. (21) (22)

Figure 6. Tennessee Counties Spent an Estimated $554 Million on Local Jails in FY 2022

Data for 88 counties came from the Tennessee Comptroller’s TAG exports and counted FY 2022 expenditures with the line description “Jail” or “Workhouse.” Actual FY 2022 expenditures for Davidson, Hamilton, Knox, and Shelby Counties were obtained from county FY 2024 budget documents. Davidson’s represents relevant program lines from Sheriff expenditures. Hamilton includes Jail expenditures, Shelby Jail and Corrections expenditures, and Knox County reflects expenditures for Sheriff’s Administration, Correctional Facilities and Batterer’s Treatment, and Jail Commissary. Each of these and the 88 TAG counties may not uniformly account for all the same expenditures across similar categories. Source: The Sycamore Institute’s analysis of FY 2022 expenditure data from the TN Comptroller and Davidson, Hamilton, Knox, and Shelby Counties (6) (7) (8) (9) (10)

---

2 Data for 88 counties came from the Tennessee Comptroller’s TAG exports and counts FY 2022 expenditures with the line description "Jail" or "Workhouse" — as provided by the Uniform Chart of Accounts. Nothing was reported in these categories for DeKalb, Polk, and Robertson Counties. Actual FY 2022 expenditure for Davidson, Hamilton, Knox, and Shelby Counties were obtained from county FY 2024 budget documents. Davidson’s represents relevant program lines from Sheriff expenditures. Hamilton include Jail expenditures, Shelby includes Jail and Corrections expenditures, and Knox County reflects expenditures for Sheriff’s Administration, Correctional Facilities and Batterer’s Treatment, and Jail Commissary. Each of these and the 88 TAG counties may not uniformly account for all the same expenditures across similar categories.
In addition to state reimbursements, local governments use many tools to fund their jails — including general fund dollars and fees and other levies. (27) (24) (25) (26) (27) For example, counties can charge inmates a daily housing fee and fees for booking, bail bonds, transportation, medical services, and educational testing — fees that can come with trade-offs for both counties and offenders. Counties may also levy special litigation taxes to pay for jail construction. Most recently, some in Shelby County have suggested increasing its local option sales tax to pay for a new jail. (22)
How is Incarceration Funding Appropriated?

Tennessee has special rules for how the state budgets for laws that affect incarceration. For context, Tennessee must have a balanced budget, and under the state constitution, any new law without its first-year cost funded in the budget becomes void. (28) Under a separate law, the budget must pre-fund bills expected to raise incarceration rates at the highest-cost year expected over a 3-year period. Those costs are limited to operating costs — not capital costs (i.e., facility upgrades or a new state prison). (29) (30)

Before 2022, these rules were stricter and dedicated funds to pay for capital costs. Before the law changed in 2021 and again in 2022, the budget had to pre-fund any additional expenses equal to the highest-cost year expected over a 10-year period. While those cost projections were limited to operating needs, TDOC could only use the money to pay for capital costs — providing a dedicated source of revenue for any needed capacity expansions. Under current requirements, TDOC can only use the required dollars for operating costs. (31) (32)

These rules were originally put in place to help with budgeting the funds necessary to prevent prison overcrowding — an issue that afflicted the state throughout the 1970s and 1980s. Several sentencing laws were enacted in the late 1970s and early 1980s that resulted in increased incarceration, but formal projections of their long-term impact did not accompany the legislation. Without the funding to accommodate this expansion of the prison population and limited flexibility to manage inmate releases, Tennessee’s prisons became under-resourced and overcrowded. This combination led to federal lawsuits, federal supervision, and riots throughout the system in 1985. The General Assembly called a special session in the same year and passed reforms to address the issues — including the new rules outlined above on budgeting for incarceration costs. (5) (33) (34) (35) (36) (37) (38) (39)

The General Assembly Fiscal Review Committee’s staff determines fiscal note estimates that forecast costs and required appropriations. The law requires Fiscal Review staff to issue cost estimates for every piece of introduced legislation that could impact revenue or expenditures. (40) All fiscal note estimates affecting incarceration are built on data and assumptions about:

- Current admissions, average sentence length, and time served for relevant offenses.
- The law’s effects on the amount of time served in state prisons and local jails — both for current and future inmates.
- When any effects might begin.
- How state and local officials may use any discretion afforded under a law (e.g., sentencing).
- How new incarcerations are distributed across state prisons and local jails.
- The daily cost of housing an inmate in a state prison or local jail.
- How many current and future offenders a law might apply to, which may require assumptions about recidivism rates and many of the factors above.

The funding requirements for legislation that affects incarceration can be highly sensitive to these assumptions and the applicable time window. In 2022, for example, Fiscal Review and TDOC estimated significantly different costs for the Truth in Sentencing proposal because they used different data definitions and assumptions. For one iteration of the legislation, TDOC estimated a $845 million 10-year impact that would have required a $96 million appropriation in that budget cycle. Fiscal Review’s 10-
year estimate was $256 million with a required $41 million first-year appropriation. (41) The final fiscal note projected the bill would increase state spending by $25.4 million in its highest-cost year over the 10-year window. The highest-cost year of a 3-year window would have been less than half that at $12.0 million. (42)

Although these factors can affect the proposal’s viability in the legislative process, fiscal notes may not quantify the total fiscal impact that policymakers must ultimately plan for. For example:

- Fiscal notes may not capture the long-term effects since new costs — particularly those associated with offenses with lengthy sentences — often won’t materialize for many years.
- Fiscal notes don’t always include capital cost estimates associated with the need for additional bed capacity and facilities. (42)
- Fiscal Review staff may be unable to estimate a reliable cost because there are too many unknowns. For example, a recent fiscal note for legislation ending certain sentencing reduction credits concluded: “There will be a significant increase in state expenditures to accommodate longer incarceration times and parolees being supervised for longer periods of time; however the extent and timing of any fiscal impact to state expenditures associated with a change in sentence reduction credits results from the proposed legislation cannot be quantified.” (43)
- A recent fiscal note for legislation proposing a constitutional change to remove the right to bail for certain offenses focused on the procedural costs of amending the constitution and not the substantive impact of the proposal. (44)

What Drives Incarceration Costs?

Two main factors drive the costs of incarceration — the number of inmates housed and the safe operation of facilities and programs for those inmates. Many variables influence each of these drivers. The following sections provide additional data and context on recent trends in Tennessee for some of the factors listed below over the last two decades.

**Inmates** — The number of inmates is influenced by:
- Crimes committed and the nature of those crimes, which influence many of the other factors listed below.
- Arrests (i.e., clearance rates) and convictions made.
- How convicted individuals are sentenced, including required statutory penalties.
- How much each inmate serves of their sentence as determined by an inmate’s behavior, statutory requirements, and parole board practices.
- Recidivism, meaning the rate at which former inmates reoffend.
- Rates of pre-trial detention, which things like bail practices and court backlogs can influence.

**Operations** — Operations costs are determined by:
- Facility needs, including available and existing bed capacity, facility age, and security requirements.
- Staffing needs and costs.
- Where inmates reside.
- Health services, which the U.S. Constitution requires.
- Any additional rehabilitative or educational services — often aimed at reducing recidivism.
The costs associated with meeting any statutory or accreditation requirements. (45)

**Figure 9. After Two Decades of Growth, the Size of Tennessee’s Incarcerated Population Dropped Sharply During the Pandemic**

Tennessee’s incarcerated Populations in State Prisons and Local Jails (FYs 2000-2023)

![Graph showing Tennessee’s incarcerated population from 2000 to 2023 in state prisons and local jails.](source)

Source: Tennessee Department of Correction and the U.S. Census Bureau (1) (2) (3)

**Figure 10. Tennessee’s Incarcerated Population Has Largely Grown as Bed Capacity Has Increased**

Tennessee State Prison and Local Jail Capacity vs. Population (FYs 2000-2024)

![Graph showing state prison and local jail capacity vs. population from 2000 to 2024.](source)

Source: Tennessee Department of Correction (1)

**Number of Inmates**

After steady growth through the 2000s and 2010s, Tennessee’s incarcerated population dropped sharply during the COVID-19 pandemic but began to grow again in FY 2022 (Figure 9). Between FYs 2000 and 2019, the number of inmates in state prisons and local jails climbed by 17,500 people, or 25%. The rate grew by about 153 inmates per 100,000 Tennesseans, or 24%. To contain the spread of COVID-19, incarcerated populations fell during 2020 and 2021. Until this drop, both jails and prisons across the state were at close to capacity (Figure 10). (50) (1) The number of inmates began to grow again in FY 2022. As of FY 2023, about 8,700 more people were incarcerated than in FY 2000 — still
about 8,800 below pre-pandemic levels. The FY 2023 rate of incarceration was 623 inmates per 100,000 Tennesseans — about the same as the FY 2000 rate of 626 and lower than FY 2019 rate of 779. (1) (2) (3)

Figure 11. Compared to 2001, Crime Rates Varied by Offense Type, but the Overall Crimes Cleared Stayed About the Same

Crime and Clearance Rates by Offense Type in Tennessee (2001-2022)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offense Type</th>
<th>Per 1,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property Crimes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimes</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleared</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cleared</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Societal Crimes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimes</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleared</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cleared</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crimes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimes</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleared</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cleared</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clearance includes offenses for which someone was arrested and charged. Societal crimes include offenses involving drugs, gambling, prostitution, weapon law violations, pornography, and animal cruelty. Source: TBI (46)

Crimes and Clearance Rates

From 2001 to 2022, property crime rates in Tennessee fell, societal crime rose, and violent crime rates were about the same — but crime clearance rates stayed about the same (Figure 11).

Changes in crime rates varied by offense type over the last two decades — as did the clearance rates, which measure the number of crimes with arrests. Across crime types, property crimes were most common, followed by drug and other societal crimes, and then violent crimes. (46)

- **Property Crime**: Tennessee’s property crime rate in 2022 was about 36.7 offenses per 1,000 Tennesseans — 44% lower than in 2001. Property crime rates declined significantly over the last two decades, reaching their lowest point in 2021. Most of these crimes don’t get cleared, so the related rate of arrests only fell slightly over this period.

- **Societal Crime**: Societal crime rates for things like drug offenses increased most this period. The societal crime rate in 2022 was 14.3 offenses per 1,000 people — 66% higher than in 2021. The number and rate of these crimes peaked in 2018. While fewer of these cases were cleared in recent years, the rate of societal crimes cleared per 1,000 people was higher than two decades prior.

- **Violent Crime**: Tennessee’s violent crime rate in 2022 was about 6.2 offenses per 1,000 Tennesseans, which was about the same as it was in 2001. Over those two decades, there were dips and jumps — 2013 was the lowest rate year, and 2021 was the highest. In 2022, law enforcement cleared fewer violent crimes than in any other year since 2001.
Sentences and Time Served

Sentences and time served have gotten longer over the last two decades for many felonies in Tennessee. TDOC stopped reporting on sentences for admissions and time served in FY 2019, but trends through FY 2018 for the most common primary offenses among inmates include: (47)

- **Non-Cocaine Drug Offenses** accounted for about 16% of convicted felons in FY 2022. Between FYs 2003 and 2018, the average sentence of those entering incarceration (i.e., admissions) increased by 63%, and the average time served of those released grew by 58%.
- **Murder** accounted for about 16% of inmates. Both average sentence of admissions and time served for releases increased between FYs 2003 and 2018, but they may not paint an accurate picture of sentence length because they exclude those sentenced to life or life without parole.
- **Aggravated Assault** was the primary offense for about 14% of inmates. Between FYs 2003 and 2018, the average sentence of new admissions declined by 7%, and the average time served of those released fell by 9%.
- **Forcible Sex Offenses** accounted for about 11% of inmates. Between FYs 2003 and 2018, the average sentence of new admissions increased by 18%, and the average time served of those released rose by 32%.
- **Burglary** accounted for about 8% of inmates. Between FYs 2003 and 2018, the average sentence of new admissions increased by 12%, while the average time served of those released declined by 15%.
- **Aggravated Robbery** accounted for about 7% of inmates. Between FYs 2003 and 2018, the average sentence of new admissions grew by 8%, and the average time served of those released increased by 19%.
- **Theft/Larceny** accounted for about 5% of inmates. Between FYs 2003 and 2018, the average sentence of new admissions increased by 26%, and the average time served of those released rose by 24%.
- **Cocaine Offenses** accounted for about 4% of inmates. Between FYs 2003 and 2018, the average sentence of new admissions increased by 48%, and the average time served of those released increased by 45%.

These trends will likely continue for many offense types as the effects of new laws begin to play out. For example, 2022’s Truth in Sentencing law requires people convicted of eight specific offenses to serve 100% of their sentence and limits the use of sentence reduction credits for about 20 others. The average time served for the affected offenses ranged from 1.2 to 15 years. This means that the full impact of the new legislation on time served and incarceration costs won’t fully materialize for many years.

Pre-Trial Detainees

The number of pre-trial inmates has grown more rapidly than the number of convicted inmates (Figures 12 and 13). From 2000 to 2023, the pre-trial inmate population rose by 129%—while the convicted inmate population grew only 2% bigger. Before COVID-related declines, the pre-trial population was about 149% larger, while the convicted population was up about 30%. (47)

The causes and consequences of pre-trial detentions — including fiscal ones — are multifaceted, but good data are not available to fully understand them in Tennessee. For example, the extent to
which bail practices drive pre-trial detention is unclear due to a lack of publicly available data. Consequences may also include longer sentences, higher rates of recidivism, and long-term economic success — even after controlling for factors that could influence these outcomes. (48) (49) (50) (51) Because the state does not reimburse for housing felony pre-trial detainees, local governments may be covering costs for sentences served in full or in part before conviction that the state would otherwise pay.

**Figure 12. Tennessee’s Incarcerated Pre-Trial Population Was 129% Bigger in 2023 Than in 2000; the Convicted Population Was 2% Bigger**

Incarcerated Population in Tennessee by Conviction Status (FYs 2000-2023)

Source: Tennessee Department of Correction (47) (48)

**Figure 13. The Number of Pre-Trial Inmates in Tennessee Has Grown More Rapidly Than Convicted Inmates Since 2000**

Incarcerated Population by Conviction Status, Offense Type, and Location (FYs 2000-2023)

Source: Tennessee Department of Correction (47) (48)
**Figure 14. Tennessee Correctional Officer Vacancy Rates Have Reached Historic Highs in Recent Years**

Tennessee State Prison Correctional Officer Vacancy Rate (FYs 2001-2022)

Source: Tennessee Department of Correction (47)

**Facility Staffing and Security**

State and local facilities in Tennessee have struggled with staffing shortages in recent years — sometimes with safety consequences. State correctional officer vacancy rates have surged recently due to the COVID-19 pandemic and related labor market shifts (Figure 14). A December 2023 state audit of TDOC reported individual facility vacancy rates as high as 61%. It also found that state prisons continue to face the "ongoing and deeply rooted challenge of attrition within their ranks," which has caused “serious safety concerns” and limited capacity to provide rehabilitative services. (53) Local jails across the state have also reportedly faced similar issues. (50) (51) (52) (53) (54) (55) (56) (57) (58) (59)

**Bed Capacity**

The state has increased prison capacity by about 3,700 beds since 2001 — a 2% decline of beds per 1,000 Tennesseans (Figure 15) — and several prisons are operating at capacity (Figure 16). Of Tennessee’s 16 state prisons, three were operating at or above capacity in FY 2023, and another four were at 90% or above. Most of Tennessee’s major state prison expansions took place in the 1980s and 1990s to alleviate the overcrowding issues of the 1970s and 1980s (Table 1).

Since 2001, 79 counties have increased the number of jail beds available per 1,000 residents (Figure 17), but many counties’ jails are operating at or above capacity (Figure 18). Between October 2023 and January 2024, jails in 19 counties were over 100% capacity, and another 20 were at 90% or above. Across the state, the number of jail beds increased by 0.9 per 1,000 Tennesseans — a 24% increase, and between October 2023 and January 2024, about 82% of jail beds across the state were filled. (48) (60) (65)
Figure 15. Tennessee State Prisons Had About 3,700 More Beds in 2024 Than in 2001, But About 2% Fewer Per 1,000 Tennesseans

State Prison Operating Capacity (FYs 2001-2023)

Source: The Sycamore Institute’s analysis of data from the Tennessee Department of Correction and the U.S. Census Bureau (62) (60) (65)

Figure 16. State Prisons Saw About 90% Occupancy in FY 2023

Percent of Operating Capacity Filled by State Prison (FY 2023 Average)

Source: Tennessee Department of Correction (62)
Table 1. Tennessee Undertook Major State Prison Expansions in the 1980 and 1990s to Alleviate Overcrowding

Tennessee State Prison Openings by Year (1980-2024)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Prison Opening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980 Morgan Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989 Riverbend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990 West Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991 Northeast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992 South Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeBerry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996 TN Correctional Work Center (now closed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997 Hardeman Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison for Women (now Debra Johnson) expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeBerry expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998 Hardeman Co. expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 Prison for Women (now Debra Johnson) expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002 Whiteville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Morgan Co. expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 Bledso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 Trousdale Turner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Tennessee Department of Correction (33)

Figure 17. 79 Tennessee Counties Had More Jail Beds Per 1,000 People in 2024 Than in 2001

Change in Number of Jail Beds Per 1,000 Population from July 2001 to January 2024

Source: The Sycamore Institute’s analysis of data from the Tennessee Department of Correction and the U.S. Census Bureau (48) (60) (65)
Figure 18. Between Oct 2023 and Jan 2024, 19 County Jails Were Over 100% Capacity, and 20 More Jails Were at 90% or Above

Percent of Bed Capacity Filled (Oct 2023-Jan 2024)

Notes: Represents the average of the Oct 2023-Jan 2024 one-day snapshot.
Source: The Sycamore Institute’s analysis of data from the Tennessee Department of Correction (48)

Parting Words
State policymakers face a complex set of considerations when weighing policies that could increase the state’s incarcerated population. They must consider their duty to protect public safety, to their constitutional responsibilities, and to create a safe environment for inmates. This report provides context for recent and proposed changes that must balance these considerations.
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